


Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

How much does your library service care about cataloguing?


Answer Choices	Responses
A great deal	28.57% 2
A lot	57.14% 4
A moderate amount	14.29% 1
A little	0.00% 0
Not at all	0.00% 0
Answered 7	
Skipped 0	



Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

Does your service have the resources to put as much time and effort into cataloguing as you feel it needs?


Answer Choices	Responses	
No time/resources	0.00%	0
A little time/resources	14.29%	1
Some time/resources	28.57%	2
Enough time/resources	42.86%	3
More than enough time/resources	14.29%	1
	Answered	7
	Skipped	0



Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

How many of your objectives did the forum meet?

Answer Choices	Responses	
All of them	28.57%	2
Most of them	14.29%	1
About half of them	14.29%	1
Some of them	42.86%	3
None of them	0.00%	0
Answered		7
Skipped		0



Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

What went well at the forum?

Answered 7

Skipped 0

Respondents	Response Date	Responses
1	Aug 22 2017 11:38 AM	the open group discussion. It was interesting to hear about the RDA standard, which I was not previously aware of
2	Aug 22 2017 11:35 AM	The discussion at the end.
3	Aug 16 2017 09:37 AM	The presentations.
4	Jul 31 2017 09:23 AM	The presentations were quite useful.
5	Jul 26 2017 03:50 PM	The session on SWIMS and Wessex was interesting and the session on RDA and use of the variant title field was very useful.
6	Jul 26 2017 03:48 PM	Found out several things I didn't know. Eg we can use the Variant Title field; and BF is the LC equivalent of the Wessex WLM - we don't need both!that
7	Jul 26 2017 03:45 PM	It was interesting to hear about the background to Wessex and I learnt some things about cataloguing that I didn't know before

Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

What did not go well at the forum?

Answered 6

Skipped 1

Respondents	Response Date	Responses
1	Aug 22 2017 11:35 AM	Some of the speakers only spoke for a short amount of time, so they finished before their slot was over.
2	Aug 16 2017 09:37 AM	N/A.
3	Jul 31 2017 09:23 AM	I think the forum was poorly moderated especially during the after-lunch discussion where at times it wasn't clear what in particular we were discussing.
4	Jul 26 2017 03:50 PM	The discussion needed more leadership or guidance.
5	Jul 26 2017 03:48 PM	The update from the PTFS lady - there were several questions that she wasn't able to answer, sounded like she wasn't very well informed
6	Jul 26 2017 03:45 PM	I think there was too much spare time - could have done with some extra sessions or it lasting for half a day

Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Answered 4

Skipped 3


Respondents	Response Date	Responses
1	Aug 22 2017 11:38 AM	I was expecting it to be more training than forum and a way to check our methods against others.
2	Aug 16 2017 09:37 AM	Very enjoyable and informative.
3	Jul 26 2017 03:50 PM	Practical demonstrations of cataloguing a variety of items and discussion of the process as we went along would be useful and may help to tackle cataloguing errors.
4	Jul 26 2017 03:45 PM	I think Emma did a really good job of organising the day and BASE should organise other similar ways to share best practice

Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

Rank the following in order of relevance and use to you:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	Score
Classification discussion within Base	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	71.43% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	4.43
Classification discussion with other health libraries	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	57.14% 4	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	7	2.86
Classification discussion with other public sector libraries	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	71.43% 5	7	1.43
Training on classification from my library	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	7	3.43
Training on classification from Base	42.86% 3	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	7	4.57
Formal education and training	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	4.29

Answered 7
Skipped 0



Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

Do you have any issues with using subject headings?

Answered 7
Skipped 0

Respondents	Response Date	Responses
1	Aug 22 2017 11:42 AM	we do so little cataloguing that we do not gain any familiarity with the range of subject headings
2	Aug 22 2017 11:35 AM	I do not.
3	Aug 16 2017 09:47 AM	Not always sure which is appropriate.
4	Jul 31 2017 09:27 AM	Yes
5	Jul 26 2017 03:57 PM	It would be helpful if the subject heading search picked up alternate terms, eg if searching for autism, it could point you to "autistic disorder"
6	Jul 26 2017 03:54 PM	No
7	Jul 26 2017 03:51 PM	No

Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

Do you have any issues with selecting classmarks?

Answered 7

Skipped 0

Respondents	Response Date	Responses
1	Aug 22 2017 11:42 AM	those outside of the medical arena are difficult to set
2	Aug 22 2017 11:35 AM	I do not.
3	Aug 16 2017 09:47 AM	Not always sure which is appropriate.
4	Jul 31 2017 09:27 AM	No
5	Jul 26 2017 03:57 PM	Yes, I rely on the NLM catalog quite a lot and sometimes get stuck if the item isn't there!
6	Jul 26 2017 03:54 PM	No
7	Jul 26 2017 03:51 PM	Can be difficult when a book is on a dual topic e.g. depression and anxiety (I usually go with whatever is mentioned first?). It can also be confusing when other BASE libraries dont use Wessex as NLM looks quite similar and could unintentionally be copied down in an existing record.

Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

How can Base support your use of classification schemes?

Answered 5

Skipped 2


Respondents	Response Date	Responses
1	Aug 16 2017 09:47 AM	Training workshops and guides.
2	Jul 31 2017 09:27 AM	Selecting subject headings
3	Jul 26 2017 03:57 PM	Not sure
4	Jul 26 2017 03:54 PM	By offering advice on any classification issues we may encounter and continuing to support LMN & Wessex.
5	Jul 26 2017 03:51 PM	Perhaps an online forum for cataloguers? Or a designated cataloguing specialist we could approach in each area if there is something we are not sure on.

Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

How relevant is the RDA standard to you, your library, and Base libraries?

	Very irrelevant	Somewhat irrelevant	Neutral	Somewhat relevant	Very relevant	Total	Weighted Average
You	16.67% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 3	16.67% 1	16.67% 1	6	0.83
Your library	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 3	33.33% 2	16.67% 1	6	3.33
Base libraries	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	33.33% 2	50.00% 3	16.67% 1	6	4.17
Other (please specify)						1	

Answered 6
Skipped 1



Respondents	Response Date
1	Aug 22 2017 11:45 AM
Other (please specify)	

not currently relevant and not sure if we should be early adopters while things are particularly subject to revision

Base Cataloguing Forum 2017


What are the risks and benefits of moving to an RDA cataloguing framework?

		Responses	
Risks	100.00%		6
Benefits	100.00%		6
	Answered		6
	Skipped		1
Respondents	Response Date	Risks	
1	Aug 22 2017 11:45 AM	time consuming, liable to have constant revision, value as relevance of book catalogues in the future diminishes	
2	Aug 16 2017 09:51 AM	May change in the future.	
3	Jul 31 2017 09:31 AM	the scale of work involved	
4	Jul 26 2017 04:00 PM	May be teething difficulties if we take it on before all the issues are ironed out	
5	Jul 26 2017 03:56 PM	It's difficult to identify any risks at this stage. A lot of work (if you retrospectively catalogue) when the user will see little difference in records. A lot of	
6	Jul 26 2017 03:55 PM	the cataloguing records are quite 'messy' at the moment - perhaps adding RDA will only confuse things further.	
		Benefits	
1		a more user friendly OPAC	
2		Increased consistency of cataloguing.	
3		it seems RDA may be the most used standard in the near future	
4		Make a start on doing something that we'll have to adopt in the end anyway	
5		Improved information retrieval	
6		It is the way forward and what other libraries are using. Could be a good opportunity to retrain staff to ensure everyone is working to the same standards.	

Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

Are you available to participate in any of the following?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Test the RDA standard	80.00%	4
Update records to RDA standard	60.00%	3
Focus group	40.00%	2
None of the above	0.00%	0
Other		1
	Answered	5
	Skipped	2




Respondents	Response Date	Other
	Aug 22 2017 11:45 AM	I think the risks outweigh the benefits but If RDA was adopted I would be happy to offer help in all of the above

Base Cataloguing Forum 2017

If Base moved to RDA, what timescale would be suitable to you and your library?

	Immediately	1-3 months	3-6 months	6 months - 1 year	1-3 years	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Consultation and Testing	0.00% 0	42.86% 3	42.86% 3	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	2.14
Training	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	2.14
Introduce new RDA fields into existing Base Framework, i.e. 264 field	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	2.57
Launch RDA framework	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	7	2.86
Update bibliographic records with RDA data	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	7	3.43
Do you have any other comments about timescale?							1	

Answered 7
Skipped 0



Respondents 1
Response Date
Aug 22 2017 11:49 AM

Do you have any other comments about timescale?

I have chosen 1-3 months as a scale from stating immediately to finishing within 3 months. each of the above to be completed before moving to the next. update of framework to be commenced as soon as agreement/consultation complete. Does testing need to follow introduction of fields?